

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT GWALIOR

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VIVEK JAIN

ON THE 10th OF JUNE, 2026

MISC. CRIMINAL CASE No. 23959 of 2026

MATADIN RAJAK

Versus

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

.....
Appearance:

Shri Ashish Singh Jadoun - Advocate for the applicant.

Shri Rinkesh Goyal - Public Prosecutor for State.

.....
WITH

MISC. CRIMINAL CASE No. 25219 of 2026

SATISH DHAKAD AND OTHERS

Versus

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

.....
Appearance:

Shri Girish Kumar - Advocate for applicant.

Shri Rinkesh Goyal - Public Prosecutor for State.

.....
MISC. CRIMINAL CASE No. 25710 of 2026

NAVAL KISHORE DHAKAD

Versus

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

.....
Appearance:

Shri Alok Kumar Sharma - Advocate for applicant.

Shri Rinkesh Goyal - Public Prosecutor for State.

.....
MISC. CRIMINAL CASE No. 25714 of 2026

RAGHAV DHAKAD

Versus

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

.....
Appearance:

Shri Alok Kumar Sharma - Advocate for applicant.

Shri Rinkesh Goyal - Public Prosecutor for State.
.....

ORDER

These are the first applications for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of BNSS filed by the applicants, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Crime No.80/2026, registered at Police Station - Bamori, District - Guna for the offences punishable under Section 4-A of Public Gambling Act & Section 112(1) of the BNS, 2023.

2. Counsels for applicants have argued that as per prosecution version, the main accused- Prakash was nabbed on 11.04.2026 while getting online betting being carried out through his mobile-phone from the website of 1ex99.in. On the basis of memorandum of Prakash, all the present applicants have been roped in. As per disclosure made by Prakash that applicants are the accomplices of Prakash who have either initiated Prakash into the betting business or have been initiated by Prakash into betting business, the applicants have been roped in. It is argued that one of such persons, namely, Kapil Soni who had been roped in on the basis of memorandum of main accused Prakash, has been granted benefit of anticipatory bail by a coordinate bench of this Court in MCRC No. 22668/2026 *vide* order passed on 18.05.2026 and the case of the present applicants is at par.

3. *Per contra*, counsel for the State though opposes the bail application, but is not in a position to point out to any distinguishing feature from the case of Kapil Soni except arguing that Kapil Soni was one of the persons

who had been initiated by the main accused -Prakash into betting business whereas one of the applicants- Matadin Rajak, is one the persons who initiated main accused Prakash into betting business.

4. However, it reveals that all these persons have been roped in only on the basis of memorandum of main accused- Prakash. Therefore, finding no distinguishing ground from the case of Kapil Soni, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the present applicants on the ground of parity.

5. Considering the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and on perusal of case diary, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the applications are *allowed*. It is directed that in the event of arrest, the present applicants be released on bail upon their furnishing a bail bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) each with one solvent surety of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Station House Officer/Arresting Officer of the Police Station concerned.

6. The applicants shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(VIVEK JAIN)
V. JUDGE

VJ