



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7768/2026 & CM APPL. 37535/2026**

ABHIJEET DIPKE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Akhil Sibal, Sr. Adv with Ms. Vrinda Grover, Mr. Nakul Gandhi, Mr. Darshit Sidhabhatti, Ms. Siddhi Sahoo, Mr. Krishnesh Bapat, Ms. Jahnavi Sindhu and Ms. Aditya Raj Patodia, Advs. with petitioner in person (through VC).

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Tushar Mehta, Sr.Adv. (SG), Mr. Chetan Sharma, Sr. Adv. (ASG) with Mr. Rajat Nair, Ms. Avshreya Pratap Singh Rudy, (CGSC), Mr. Amit Tiwari, (CGSC), Mr. Amit Gupta, Mr. Aman Mehta, Ms. Laavanya Kaushik, (GP), Ms. Usha Jamnal, Mr. Ankit Khatri, Ms. Nyasa Sharma, Mr. R.V. Prabhat, Mr. Yash Wardhan Sharma, Mr. Shubham Sharma, and Mr. Naman, Advs. for union of India R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

07.07.2026

%

1. By way of the present writ petition, the petitioner seeks following reliefs:

“a) Issue a writ, direction, or order in the nature of mandamus or any other



appropriate writ to quash and set aside the impugned blocking order as referred to in Email dated 21.05.2026 annexed as Annexure P-5 with this Petition, which has withheld Petitioner's X account, bearing the handle "@CJP_2029" within the territory of India, and consequently,

b) Issue a writ, direction, order or any other appropriate writ to Respondent No. 2 to restore the Petitioner's X account, bearing the handle "@CJP_2029" within the territory of India with immediate effect;

c) Issue a direction, writ, order or any other appropriate writ to the Respondents to produce before this Hon'ble Court and supply to the Petitioner the copy of the blocking order, any reasons, records, file notings and material forming the basis of the impugned blocking action; and/or"

2. The learned Solicitor General, appearing for respondent no. 1 states that the primary concern of respondent no. 1, while passing the impugned blocking order was that the petitioner the aforesaid X account, was trying to mislead the students, including their parents, about the cancellation of NEET Examinations 2026, and was also serving as an outlet for the youth to raise their grievance and communicate the same to the Central and State Governments.

3. This Court is of the opinion that since the NEET Examinations are already over, the aforesaid primary concern of respondent no. 1 does not survive.

4. In these circumstances, the impugned blocking order as referred in E-mail dated 21.05.2026, passed by respondent no. 1, is directed to be revoked.

5. The petition is allowed in the aforesaid terms. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

6. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

JULY 07, 2026/A