



1

CRR-3691-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT JABALPUR

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE DWARKA DHISH BANSAL

ON THE 7th OF SEPTEMBER, 2026CRIMINAL REVISION No. 3691 of 2026*SUSHMA BEN**Versus**THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH*

Appearance:

Shri Mahesh Acharya - Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Samta Jain - Government Advocate for the respondent/State.

ORDER

This criminal revision under Section 102 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 (in short "the JJ Act") has been preferred by the petitioner being aggrieved by the impugned order dated 7/8/2026 passed by Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Jabalpur in connection with Crime No.103/2026, by which the application filed by the petitioner for grant of bail for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 has been rejected.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the present petitioner is a child, who is in conflict with law. It is contended by the counsel that the main allegation is against the co-accused persons and the petitioner has been implicated in the offence on the basis of memorandum statement of the co-accused. The only allegation against the petitioner is that despite being a juvenile, he took away the co-accused persons from the place of incident in a motorcycle. Co-accused Ritik @ Sumit Choudhary and Sudheer Choudhary have already been enlarged on regular bail by a coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 21/8/2026 passed



in M.Cr.C. Nos.33981/2026 and 29480/2026, respectively, and co-accused Nilesh alias Jai Ben has been enlarged on regular bail by the Sessions Court vide order dated 31/8/2026 passed in Bail Application No.IA 483/3/2026 (ST No.309/2026). Petitioner is under safety center since 2/3/2026. He is not a habitual offender. With these submissions he prays for grant of bail to the present petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent/State opposed the prayer made by the petitioner and prays for dismissal of the criminal revision.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. Having considered the submissions and on perusal of the documents available on record, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner can be released on bail and can be handed over to the custody of his mother.

6. Accordingly, on furnishing bail bond in the sum of **Rs.50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand Only)** to the satisfaction of the Court below by the mother of the petitioner, the petitioner shall be handed over to the custody of his mother. The mother of the petitioner shall also submit an undertaking that she shall keep the petitioner present before the Court below on each and every date so fixed by it.

7. With the aforesaid observation, the impugned order passed by the Court below is hereby set aside.

8. Accordingly, **the criminal revision succeeds and is hereby allowed .**

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(DWARKA DHISH BANSAL)
JUDGE