

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. _____ OF 2026
(Arising out of S.L.P. (CrI) No. 17286 of 2025)

NITIN GARG

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. We have heard the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the appellant and the learned A.S.G. appearing for the respondents.
3. The appellant before us is aggrieved by the order passed by the High Court of Delhi by which, the Lookout Circular issued against him by respondent No.1 was not interfered with.
4. The learned Senior Counsel appearing for the appellant submitted that on few of the previous occasions, the appellant has travelled abroad. He has been travelling abroad due to his professional commitments by visiting referral firms and that is why he has been frequently visiting three countries primarily.
5. Considering the above, it is submitted that there is

no need for a Lookout Circular, especially when the appellant is a Chartered Accountant with whom around two hundred associates are working. He is not a flight risk, as he has always cooperated with the investigation and inquiry.

6. The learned A.S.G. appearing for the respondents submitted that there exists a suspicion in the appellant frequently travelling abroad. There is no need for him to travel abroad. Furthermore, the High Court has rejected the quashing application only on the question of jurisdiction.

7. Having heard the parties, we make it clear that we do not wish to stand on technicalities. Suffice it to state that the Lookout Circular against the appellant, who is a Chartered Accountant having his own firm, is not required, as he is not a flight risk.

8. In such view of the matter, we set aside the Lookout Circular issued against the appellant by the respondent No.1 with a direction to the appellant that he shall inform the concerned respondent(s) fifteen days prior to each of his visits abroad in the future, by giving the itinerary and the details of the places he intends to visit to the concerned respondent(s).

9. Accordingly, the appeal stands allowed in the above terms.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(M.M. SUNDRESH)

.....J.
(PRASANNA B. VARALE)

NEW DELHI;
AUGUST 25, 2026.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 17286/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 25-09-2025 in WPCRL No. 209/2025 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

NITIN GARG

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 38257/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/
ANNEXURES

Date : 25-08-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Balbir Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Aman Sharma, Adv.
Mrs. Priyansha Sharma, AOR
Mr. Naman Tandon, Adv.
Mr. Subhan Shankar Gogoi, Adv.
Ms. Nidhi Jain, Adv.
Ms. Nancy Aggarwal, Adv.
Mr. Varun Pratap Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Suryaprakash V. Raju, A.S.G.
Ms. Madhulika Upadhyay, AOR
Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.
Mr. Hitarth Raja, Adv.
Mr. Arkaj Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Samrat Goswami, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kr. Sharma, Aor, Adv.

Mr. Raj Bahadur Yadav, AOR

Upon hearing the counsel, the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appeal stands allowed in terms of the signed

order.

3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(SHUBHAM SONI)
COURT MASTER (SH)**

**(POONAM VAID)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**