



IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH  
AT GWALIOR

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE ANAND PATHAK

&

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE PUSHPENDRA YADAV

ON THE 23<sup>rd</sup> OF MARCH, 2026

REVIEW PETITION No. 586 of 2026

*CHAURASIYA NAV YUVAK MANDAL BILLOWA THROUGHTITS  
PRESIDENT HARIOM CHAURASIYA*

*Versus*

*THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS*

.....  
Appearance:

Shri Prashant Sharma - Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Ravindra Dixit - GA appearing for respondent no.1 to 7/State.

Shri Akram Khan, - Advocate for the respondent no. 8 to 14.

.....  
ORDER

*Per. Justice Anand Pathak*

The instant review petition is preferred by petitioner seeking review/clarification of order dated 06.03.2026 passed in W.P. No.1493/2026.

2. A writ petition was preferred by respondent no.8 to 14 vide W.P. No.1493/2026 in the nature of Public Interest Litigation and sought certain reliefs as contained in the order dated 06.03.2026. Prime allegation was that petitioner/society which is running a school is using the school land for marriage garden purpose and, therefore, earning out of the said alleged Government land.



3 . This Court disposed of the writ petition with a direction to the Collector to consider the representation and after enquiry pass appropriate order. This is the bone of contention.

4 . According to counsel for review petitioner, anxiety of review petitioner is that before proceeding with enquiry and consideration of representation, present review petitioner may also be given an opportunity to present his case so that real facts can be brought before the authority concerned. It is a submission of counsel for the petitioner that land is attached with the school and temple and for no other purpose land is utilized. An application was also preferred by review petitioner u/s 3 of Madhya Pradesh Sarvajanik Sthan (Dharmik Bhawan Evam Gatividhiyon Ka Viniyaman) Adhiniyam, 2001. Same is pending consideration.

5. It is further submitted that respondent no.8 to 14 filed the petition with a motive to harass the present review petitioner. Some of the members bear criminal record.

6. After considering the rival submissions, it appears that when respondent no.8 to 14 preferred writ petition, they did not disclose this fact that some of them bear criminal record. This is a material aspect because every petitioner, who intends to file any Public Interest Litigation, ought to divulge the details of him, including the criminal antecedents so that stream of PIL may not be polluted by use or misuse of persons who are not socially inclined or public spirited.

7 . However, considering the prayer made by review petitioner, it appears that an opportunity of hearing should be given to review petitioner



also so that real facts come to the knowledge of District Collector who has to conduct enquiry and consider the representation.

8. Needless to say that while considering the representation preferred by the writ petitioners of W.P. No.1493/2026, their criminal antecedents may also be considered by the concerned authority and, thereafter, appropriate order shall be passed.

9. Since petitioners of writ petition preferred the petition while not disclosing the criminal antecedents, therefore, as a cost they shall deposit Rs.20,000/-in the account of Nagar Nigam, Gwalior (Swachhata Penalty Evam Garbage) bearing Account No.4051626318 of Kotak Mahindra Bank, Branch Gwalior (IFSC Code : KKBK0005927) and will plant 25 saplings in the vicinity where they are living within a period of 15 days and that would be a condition precedent for consideration of their case by the District Collector.

10. Compliance regarding plantation shall be uploaded by the petitioner on NISARG App so that Registry of this Court can verify the fact. Compliance regarding payment shall also be informed by making appropriate application to the Registry of this Court. Matter be kept under caption "Direction" in July, 2026.

11. Petition stands **disposed of** with the aforesaid observations.

(ANAND PATHAK)  
JUDGE

(PUSHPENDRA YADAV)  
JUDGE