

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. _____ OF 2025
(@ SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION(CRIMINAL)NO.3843/2025)

GURVINDER SINGH

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

JASBIR SINGH @ JASVIR SINGH & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

R1: JASBIR SINGH @ JASVIR SINGH

R2: STATE OF PUNJAB

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Leave granted.

3. The appellant has moved this Court against the impugned order dated 07.02.2025 passed by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh in CRM No. 3089/2025 in CRM-M No.41080/2024, by which the proceeding which had earlier been dismissed on 17.01.2025, and the prayer for anticipatory bail was rejected, on a later petition, the dismissal order was recalled, and anticipatory bail was granted.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the High Court has taken recourse to a course unknown in law. It is submitted that such a procedure is also impermissible. It was submitted that once a detailed order dismissing the prayer for anticipatory bail had been passed, the proceedings stood finally concluded and could not have been revived by way of recall, much

less restoration.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent no.1/accused, on the other hand, submitted that cogent reasons were recorded in the impugned order for recalling the earlier dismissal.

6. Having considered the matter in depth, we find substance in the contention of learned counsel for the appellant.

7. The learned Single Judge, after having passed an order dismissing the prayer for anticipatory bail, could not have revived the proceedings, much less by way of restoration. The Court could not have then proceeded to reverse its earlier order by allowing the prayer for anticipatory bail which was initially rejected.

8. Accordingly, the order impugned dated 07.02.2025 stands set aside. The initial order dated 17.01.2025, dismissing the application and prayer for anticipatory bail, stands revived.

9. Consequences to follow. The parties are left open to avail the remedies as may be available to them in law.

10. The appeal stands allowed in the aforementioned terms.

11. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

....., J.
(AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH)

....., J.
(S.V.N. BHATTI)

NEW DELHI;
15TH SEPTEMBER, 2025.

ITEM NO.13

COURT NO.14

SECTION II-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 3843/2025
 [Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 07-02-2025
 in CRM No. 3089/2025 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana
 at Chandigarh]

GURVINDER SINGH

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

JASBIR SINGH @ JASVIR SINGH & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

IA No. 44213/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
 JUDGMENT

Date : 15-09-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.V.N. BHATTI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anil Kumar, AOR
 Mr. Kunal Jindia, Adv.
 Ms. Gauri Swarup Bansal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ajay Kumar Talesara, AOR
 Mr. Jamshed Bey, Adv.
 Mr. Tejasvi Kumar, Adv.
 Mr. Syed Sarfaraz Karim, Adv.
 Mr. Ekansh Bansal, Adv.
 Mrs. Renu Bhandari, Adv.
 Mr. Mudit Talesara, Adv.
 Mr. Samarth Talesara, Adv.

Mr. Karan Sharma, AOR
 Mr. Mohit Siwach, Adv.
 Mr. Chetan Manchanda, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
 O R D E R

Leave granted.

2. The appeal stands allowed in terms of the signed order.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(VARSHA MENDIRATTA)
 COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANJALI PANWAR)
 COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Signed order is placed on the file)