



IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT INDORE

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VIJAY KUMAR SHUKLA

&

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE BINOD KUMAR DWIVEDI

ON THE 26th OF MARCH, 2026

REVIEW PETITION No. 276 of 2026

MANJU

Versus

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS

.....
Appearance:

Shri Harsh Shrivastava, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Shrey Raj Saxena, learned counsel for the respondent/state.

.....
ORDER

Per. Justice Vijay Kumar Shukla

The present review petition is filed seeking review of the order dated 18.12.2025 passed in WP No.48357/2025, whereby this court directed the minor girl be produced before the Child Welfare Committee, Dhar and therefrom, as per the decision of the committee, she will be sent to any Nari Niketan, preferably as informed Jivan Jyoti, a hostel run by some NGO at Rau till she attends majority.

Learned counsel for the review petitioner argued that the petitioner is the natural mother of the girl and she wants to keep the girl with her.

Admittedly, the girl is minor and she does not want to live with the parents, therefore, in the writ petition this court directed the minor girl to be produced before the Child Welfare Committee, Dhar and therefrom, as



per the decision of the committee, she will be sent to any Nari Niketan.

In view of the aforesaid, I do not find any error apparent on the face of record warranting any interference in exercise of Review Petition. It is well settled that cases are heard and decided only once. To make departure from this statutory rule, the revision application must strictly fall within the established parameters. In light of settled principles of law, my considered opinion is that there is no merit and substance in the review petition as in a review, the Court has very limited power circumscribed by definitive limits. Even after elaborate arguments, no error apparent on the face of record could be pointed out.

The review petition is **dismissed**.

(VIJAY KUMAR SHUKLA)
JUDGE

(BINOD KUMAR DWIVEDI)
JUDGE

Sourabh