SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 12213/2019

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-02-2019 in WPC No. 4099/2018 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

RAJEEV SURI Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 110092/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE APPLICATION FOR DIRECTION)

Date: 04-09-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.V.N. BHATTI

Mr. Gopal Sankarnarayanan, Sr. Adv. (Court Commissioner)
Mr. Vishal Sinha, Adv.

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Shikhil Shiv Suri, Sr. Adv.

Mrs. Madhu Suri, Adv. Ms. Jyoti Suri, Adv.

Mr. Vibhor Choudhary, Adv.

Ms. Ishita Ahuja, Adv. Mr. Deva Vrat Anand, Adv.

Mr. T. R. B. Sivakumar, AOR

For Respondent(s):

Ms. Garima Prasad, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Praveen Swarup, AOR

Ms. Nidhi Singh, Adv.

Ms. Ashu Tomar, Adv.

Mr. Raghvendra Shukla, Adv.

Ms. Sakshi Chahar, Adv.

Mr. Devesh Maurya, Adv.

Mr. Shubhranshu Padhi, AOR

Mr. D. Girish Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Pranav Giri, Adv.

Mr. Jay Nirupam, Adv.

Mr. Ekansh Sisodia, Adv.



- Mr. S.D.Sanjay, A.S.G.
- Mr. Divyam Aggarwal, Adv.
- Mr. Shubham Prakash Mishra, Adv.
- Mr. Khushal Kolwar, Adv.
- Mr. Siddhant Kohli, Adv.
- Mr. Raman Yadav, Adv.
- Mr. Chitvan Singhal, Adv.
- Mr. Mrigank Pathak, Adv.
- Mr. Sarthak Karool, Adv.
- Mr. Khushal Kolwar, Adv.
- Mr. Sudarshan Lamba, AOR
- Mr. Amrish Kumar, AOR
- Mr. Rajkumar Bhaskar Thakar Ld, A.S.G.
- Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR
- Mr. Prasenjeet Mohapatra, Adv.
- Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tyagi, Adv.
- Ms. Neelakshi Bhadauria, Adv.
- Mr. Rishikesh Haridas, Adv.
- Mr. Udai Khanna, Adv.
- Mr. Kanu Agrawal, Adv.
- Ms. Shivika Mehra, Adv.
- Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.
- Mr. B. L. N. Shivani, Adv.
- Ms. Satvika Thakur, Adv.
- Mr. M. P. Gupta, Adv.
- Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AOR
- Mr. Rakesh Sinha, Adv.
- Mr. Arvind Gupta, AOR
- Mr. Mohd Ghulam Akbar, Adv.
- Mr. Jeemon Raju K, Adv.
- Ms. Shruti Shashi, Adv.
- Ms. Sushant Shekhar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Having considered the matter, we find that there is too much of a communication gap between the Court and the Municipal Corporation of Delhi (MCD).

- 2. We would thus like the Commissioner of the MCD to be personally present in the Court at 3.00 p.m. today so that whatever order the Court passes is in his presence so that it is taken in the right spirit.
- 3. We have been constrained to pass this order as we have been giving sufficient leverage and latitude to the MCD to come clean by showing its bonafide but we find that our hopes have been dashed by the conduct and the stand taken by the MCD.

(ANITA MALHOTRA) AR-CUM-PS (ANJALI PANWAR)
COURT MASTER