



\$~78

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

W.P.(CRL) 2964/2025

SH. KUSHAL AGARWAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rattandip Singh, Ms Divya
Tripathi and Mr. Pritha Khanna, Advs.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for the
State with Mr. Alok Sharma, Adv. and SI M.L.
Meena, P.S. V.K. South.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

15.09.2025

%

CRL.M.A. 27529/2025 EXMP.

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 2964/2025

1. The present writ petition under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India r/w Section 528 BNSS seeks a direction to the respondent no. 1 to permit the petitioner to join investigation through video conferencing.
2. Issue notice. Learned ASC for the State accepts notice.
3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has already been made to appear before the concerned IO three times by travelling from Kolkata to Delhi. His repeated summoning from Kolkata to Delhi, despite his consistent cooperation, amounts to harassment and abuse



of process of law. He further submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to render full cooperation through video conferencing if any further statement of his is required to be recorded by the IO.

4. The learned ASC has no objection to the petitioner joining investigation as long as he cooperates with the investigation.

5. The petition is, accordingly, disposed of with a direction to the respondents to allow the petitioner to join further investigation through video conferencing, since he has already appeared in person as many as three times by travelling from Kolkata and got his statement recorded.

6. As a matter of caution, I may hasten to add here that this order shall not in any manner be construed to impede the intended investigation by the IO.

ARUN MONGA, J

SEPTEMBER 15, 2025

acm