



2025:AHC-LKO:58580

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

WRIT - C No. - 9484 of 2025

Yasharth Rai

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Higher Edu.
Lko. And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Ichchha Singh, Rajeev Srivastava
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Rajesh Tewari

Court No. - 6

HON'BLE PANKAJ BHATIA, J.

1. Heard learned counsels for the parties and perused the material available on record.
2. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner challenging an order dated 13.02.2025, whereby the petitioner, who was pursuing the LLB IVth Semester (Hons.) with the respondent-university was suspended and the campus was made out of bond for him. It was also directed that the inquiry will be conducted by the Proctorial Board of the University.
3. It is stated that, the allegations were levelled against the petitioner for making some video viral in which, allegedly derogatory words were used against Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar. The petitioner, denies the allegations, however, without going into the said effect at this stage, no final decision has been taken by the Proctorial Board in respect of the future of the petitioner.
4. Counsel for the respondent-university, based upon instructions, states that despite, the petitioner being called, he is not coming forward either on account of fear or otherwise. It is further stated that if the petitioner appears before the Proctorial Board, the said Board shall take a decision with all expedition.
5. Considering the said submission, the present petition is ***disposed of*** permitting the petitioner to appear before the Proctorial Board within a period of one week from today.
6. The Proctorial Board shall ensure that the petitioner is granted full

security and no harm is caused to him, if need to be, the Commissioner of Police, Lucknow shall also ensure that proper safety/security is accorded to the petitioner to appear before the Proctorial Board. The Proctorial Board after hearing the cause of the petitioner and following the prescribed regulations pass an order in respect of the petitioner preferably within a period of two weeks thereafter.

7. The petitioner would be at liberty to challenge the said decision in case, the same is adverse, by approaching this Court again.

September 22, 2025
Praveen

(Pankaj Bhatia,J.)