

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1009 OF 2021

Ms. Kangana Ranaut ..Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Respondents

Ms. Ankita Chatterjee i/b. Siddique & Associates for Applicant.
Ms. Pallavi Singh i/b. Saveena Bedi for Respondent No.2.
Ms. A. S. Pai, P.P. for Respondent No.1.
Mr. Sandeep Patil, P.I. Khar police station, present.

CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR AND
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.

DATE : 13 DECEMBER 2021

P.C. :

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.
2. The learned counsel for the Applicant states that by bare perusal of the F.I.R. and the statements stated to have been made by the complainant, offence under section 295A of the IPC is not made out.
3. The learned Counsel appears on behalf of the Respondent No.2/Complainant and seeks time.

4. Stand over to 25 January 2022.
5. The learned counsel for the Applicant states that the Applicant apprehends arrest in the light of notice received by the Applicant under section 41A of the Code of Criminal Procedure.
6. The learned Public Prosecutor, on instructions of the Investigating officer, states that no coercive steps will be taken against the Petitioner till the next date, however, the Applicant should co-operate with the investigation. The learned counsel for the Applicant states that the Applicant will co-operate with the investigation and attend the concerned police station on 22 December 2021. The statement is accepted.
7. We record and accept the statement made by the learned Public Prosecutor, on instructions, that no coercive steps will be taken against the Applicant till next date.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)