



O.S.A. Nos.1 to 3 of 2022
and C.M.P. Nos.88, 90, 94, 95 and 98 of 2021

PARESH UPADHYAY, J.

and

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

WEB COPY

(Order of the Court was made by PARESH UPADHYAY, J.)

Challenge in these appeals is made to the order passed on Company Petition No. 363 of 2015 and applications thereunder dated 06.12.2021, as amended / corrected on 15.12.2021 and 23.12.2021.

2. Heard Mr.V.Ramakrishnan, learned Senior Advocate for the appellant and Mr.Rahul Balaji, learned advocate for the respondent, who appears on caveat.

3. It is noted that the learned Senior Advocate for the appellant has addressed the Court at length and has concluded his argument, for admission of appeals and interim relief. Learned advocate for the respondent has also addressed the Court, on the basis of the judgment impugned in these appeals. Since other paper books tendered to the Court on behalf of the appellant, which are also referred by learned Senior Advocate for the appellant during the course of hearing, are yet to be made available to the learned counsel for the respondent (appearing on caveat), it is deemed fit to defer the hearing till tomorrow.

4. It is informed to the Court that, though there are some procedural difficulties in view of the restrictions to enter the High Court premises, an



amount equivalent to five million USD is already tendered to the Registry of this Court, as ordered by the learned single Judge, and in substance, the condition of stay of the impugned order as stipulated therein is already complied with by the appellant, within the stipulated time.

WEB COPY

5. List for further hearing tomorrow i.e.,06.01.2022. Interim protection granted earlier by learned Single Judge is extended till the matter is heard tomorrow.

(P.U.J.) (S.S.K.J.)
05.01.2022

raa/12



WEB COPY



PARESH UPADHYAY, J.
and
SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

raa

O.S.A. Nos.1 to 3 of 2022

05.01.2022