

\$~49

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4915/2022

SHILPI CHAUDHARY ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Vashishtha, Adv.  
versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. .... Respondent

Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP  
Mr. Priyanshu Upadhyay, Mr. Sandeep, Mr. Viraat  
Tripathi, Advs.  
WSI Shweta, PS Preet Vihar

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH**

**ORDER**

%

**26.09.2022**

This is a petition filed seeking quashing of the FIR No. 206/2018 dated 23.10.2018 registered at PS Preet Vihar under Section 384/506 IPC and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

It is stated that the FIR has been registered on the complaint of Mr. Brajesh Pandey who is an Advocate and was working with Ms. Sudha Saxena.

It is stated that the petitioner sought legal professional consultancy from the complainant and her senior colleague. Despite rendering best professional services, the petitioner misbehaved and did not pay their professional fee. Hence the FIR.

It is stated that the petitioner has also registered an FIR against the respondent No.2 which was 8 months prior to the present FIR.

During pendency of the proceedings, a compromise has been reached before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi on

26.02.2022, wherein the parties have decided to put a quietus to the FIRs and undertake to cooperate with each other in getting the FIRs quashed.

The petitioner is present in Court and has been identified by Mr. Sanjay Vashishtha, learned counsel.

The respondent No.2 i.e. Mr. Brajesh Pandey is also present in Court and has been identified by Mr. Priyanshu Upadhyay, learned counsel.

Both the parties state that they regret their actions and undertake never to repeat them in future.

Mr. Pandey, the complainant also has been advised not to file cases for recovery of the outstanding fees. Mr. Pandey states that he has no objection if the summoning order is quashed against the petitioner.

For the reasons stated above, I am of the view that no purpose would be served in prosecuting the complaint any further as the parties have arrived at a settlement and wish to put a quietus to the dispute between them. However, I am of the view that considerable time of the police and judiciary has been wasted. The police machinery has been put in motion on account of the acts of commission & omission on behalf of the parties and useful time of the police which could have been utilised for important matters has been misdirected towards this case. Hence, the parties must do some social good.

In this view of the matter, the FIR is quashed subject to the petitioner providing sanitary napkins to a girls' school, which will be identified by the learned APP, not having less than 100 girls from Class-VI to XII for a period of 2 months.

The respondent No.2 shall report to the office of DHCLSC and will undertake *pro bono* work to the best of his ability and capability for the next

3 months.

With these observations and subject to the above directions, the FIR No. 206/2018 dated 23.10.2018 registered at PS Preet Vihar under Section 384/506 IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed and the petition is disposed of.

The needful shall be done within a period of 4 weeks starting from today.

List for compliance on 17.04.2023 by the I.O. concerned.

**JASMEET SINGH, J**

**SEPTEMBER 26, 2022 / (MS)**

*[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)*