

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
INHERENT JURISDICTION**

**Review Petition (Crl.) Nos. 56-60 of 2023**

**IN  
Criminal Appeal No. 611-615 of 2022**

**The State of Delhi ... Petitioner (s)**

**Versus**

**Rahul and Anr. ...Respondent (s)**

**WITH**

**R.P. (Crl.) No. 61 of 2023 in Crl. A. No. 611 of 2022**

**Kunwar Singh Negi ... Petitioner (s)**

**Versus**

**State of NCT of Delhi and Anr. ...Respondent (s)**

**WITH**

**R.P. (Crl.) No. .... /2023 (@ Diary No. 40179 of 2022)**

**Yogita Bhayana ... Petitioner (s)**

**Versus**

**The State of Delhi and Anr. ...Respondent (s)**

**WITH**

**R.P. (Crl.) No. .... /2023 (@ Diary No. 39804 of 2022)**

**Uttarakhand Bachao Movement ... Petitioner (s)**

**Versus**

**Rahul and Ors. ...Respondent (s)**

**WITH**

**R.P. (Crl.) No. ..../2023 (@Diary No. 40293 of 2022)**

**Uttarakhand Lok Manch**

**... Petitioner (s)**

**Versus**

**The State of Delhi and Ors.**

**...Respondent (s)**

## **O R D E R**

### **Review Petition (Criminal) No. 56-60/2023**

1 The Review petitions have been filed by the State of Delhi seeking review of the common judgment and order dated 7 November 2022 passed by this Court in Criminal Appeal Nos. 611/2022-615/2022. The State has also filed the application seeking permission to bring on record some events which have allegedly taken place *qua* one of the accused Vinod after pronouncement of the judgment.

2 After having considered the judgment and other documents on record, we do not find any error either factual or legal, apparent on the face of record requiring review of the aforestated judgment passed by this Court.

3 Even if an event, which has no nexus to the instant case had taken place subsequent to the pronouncement of the judgment that would not be a ground to entertain the review petitions.

4 In that view of the matter, the review petitions are dismissed. The IAs also stand rejected.

**Review Petition (Criminal) No. 61/2023 in Criminal Appeal No. 611/2022**

5 The review petition has been filed by the petitioner-Kunwar Singh Negi, the father of the victim seeking review of the common judgment and order dated 7 November 2022 passed by this Court in Criminal Appeal Nos. 611/2022 - 615/2022.

6 After having carefully gone through the judgment and the documents on record, we do not find any error either factual or legal, apparent on the face of record requiring review of the aforestated judgment passed by this Court.

7 In that view of the matter, the review petition is dismissed. The IAs also stand rejected.

**Diary No. 40179/2022**

8 The applicant Yogita Bhayana, claiming to be an anti-rape activist and social worker based at New Delhi, has filed the application seeking permission to file review petition for seeking review of the common judgment and order dated 7 November 2022 passed by this Court in Criminal Appeal Nos. 611/2022-615/2022.

9 Such an application at the instance of a person who was not a party to the criminal proceedings is not maintainable in a criminal appeal. Moreover, the Court has dismissed the review petition filed by the State of Delhi by recording that there was no error apparent on the face of record requiring the review of the judgment in the appeals. The application seeking permission to file review petition is rejected. The connected IAs also stand rejected.

**Diary No. 39804/2022**

10 The review petition has been filed by Uttarakhand Bachao Movement, an unregistered organization seeking permission to file a review petition for seeking review of the common judgment and order dated 7 November 2022 passed by this Court in Criminal Appeal Nos. 611/2022-615/2022.

11 Such an application at the instance of a person who was not a party to the criminal proceedings is not maintainable in a criminal appeal. Moreover, the Court has dismissed the review petition filed by the State of Delhi by recording that there was no error apparent on the face of record requiring the review of the judgment in the appeals. The application seeking permission to file review petition is rejected. The connected IAs also stand rejected.

12 The application seeking permission to file review petition is rejected. The connected IAs also stand rejected.

**Diary No. 40293/2022**

13 The review petition has been filed by Uttarakhand Lok Manch, an NGO based at New Delhi seeking permission to file review petition for seeking review of the common judgment and order dated 7 November 2022 passed by this Court in Criminal Appeal Nos. 611/2022 - 615/2022.

14 Such an application at the instance of a person who was not a party to the criminal proceedings is not maintainable in a criminal appeal. Moreover, the Court has dismissed the review petition filed by the State of Delhi by recording that there was no error apparent on the face of record requiring the review of the judgment in the appeals.

15 The application seeking permission to file review petition is rejected. The connected IAs also stand rejected.

.....CJI  
[DR. DHANANJAYA Y. CHANDRACHUD]

.....J.  
[S. RAVINDRA BHAT]

.....J.  
[BELA M. TRIVEDI]

**New Delhi;  
March 02, 2023.**

-GKA-

ITEM NO.1006

SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

R.P.(Crl.) No. 56-60/2023 in Crl.A. No. 611-615/2022

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 07-11-2022 in Crl.A. No. No. 611/2022 07-11-2022 in Crl.A. No. No. 612/2022 07-11-2022 in Crl.A. No. No. 613/2022 07-11-2022 in Crl.A. No. No. 614/2022 07-11-2022 in Crl.A. No. No. 615/2022 passed by the Supreme Court Of India)

THE STATE OF DELHI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAHUL &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 192960/2022 - ORAL HEARING IA No. 28554/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

WITH

R.P.(Crl.) No. 61/2023 in Crl.A. No. 611/2022 (II-C)  
(FOR APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT ON IA 191484/2022)

Diary No(s). 40179/2022 (II-C)  
(FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT ON IA 193175/2022 FOR APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT ON IA 193177/2022 FOR APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE REVIEW PETITION ON IA 25101/2023)

Diary No(s). 39804/2022 (II-C)  
(FOR APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE REVIEW PETITION ON IA 191101/2022)

Diary No(s). 40293/2022 (II-C)  
(FOR APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE REVIEW PETITION ON IA 193679/2022 FOR APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT ON IA 193681/2022)

Date : 02-03-2023 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT  
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE BELA M. TRIVEDI

By Circulation

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

**Review Petition (Criminal) No. 56-60/2023**

- 1 Application for oral hearing of the review petitions is dismissed.
- 2 The review petitions are dismissed in terms of the signed order.
- 3 Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**Review Petition (Criminal) No. 61/2023 in Criminal Appeal No. 611/2022**

- 4 Application for listing the Review Petition in open Court is dismissed.
- 5 The Review Petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.
- 6 Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.  
<https://www.incometax.gov.in/iec/foportal/>

Read more at:

<http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/articleshow/99026971.cms?>

[from=mdr&utm\\_source=contentofinterest&utm\\_medium=text&utm\\_campaign=](http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/articleshow/99026971.cms?from=mdr&utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=)



cppst

**Diary No. 40179, 39804 and 40293/2022**

- 7 Applications for permission to file the Review Petitions are rejected in terms of the signed order.

**(GULSHAN KUMAR ARORA)**  
**AR-CUM-PS**

**(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)**  
**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

**(Signed order is placed on the file)**