

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8112/2023, CM APPL. 31215/2023

EHTESHAM QUTUBUDDIN SIDDIQUI Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vinay Rathi, Adv. (DHCLSC)

versus

CPIO, NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY Respondent

Through: Mr. Rahul Sharma, (CGSPC) Mr. C.
K Bhatt, Mr. Ayush Bhatt, Mr. Rahul
Kumar Sharma, Advs. for (CPIO,
NIA)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

04.08.2023

%

1. The Petitioner has filed the instant writ petition against the Order dated 13.09.2022 passed by the Central Information Commission (CIC) rejecting the appeal on the ground that the information regarding subject of the authorities mentioned in Schedule II of the Right to Information Act cannot be granted.

2. The information sought by the Petitioner is for taking appropriate orders/sanction from the Central Government and take up further/ fresh investigation in Mumbai train blast 2006. It is stated by the Petitioner that he has been falsely convicted and, therefore, he has moved this application for appropriate sanction from the Central Government to take further investigation.

3. This is not a case of seeking information but for a direction to the Central Government to conduct fresh investigation which is not within the purview of the RTI Act and this Court was inclined to dismiss the writ petition with costs.
4. Confronted with this, learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition.
5. Taking into account the facts of the case, this Court is inclined to take a sympathetic view and permit the Petitioner to withdraw the writ petition.
6. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

AUGUST 04, 2023

hsk