Court No. - 89 Case :- ORIGINAL SUIT No. - 1 of 2023 Plaintiff :- Bhagwan Shrikrishna Virajman At Katra Keshav Dev Khewat No. 255 And 7 Others Defendant :- U.P. Sunni Central Waqf Board And 3 Others Counsel for Plaintiff :- Prabhash Pandey,Pradeep Kumar Sharma Counsel for Defendant :- Gulrez Khan,Hare Ram,Nasiruzzaman,Punit Kumar Gupta

## <u>Hon'ble Mayank Kumar Jain, J.</u>

S/Sri Vishnu Shanker Jain, Shailendra Singh (in person), Saurabh Tiwari and Ms. Reena N Singh (through Video Conferencing), S/Sri Anil Kumar Singh, Ajay Pratap Singh, Anil Kumar Singh Bisen, Ramanand Gupta, Harshit Gupta, Vinay Sharma, Kumar Beenu Singh, Prabhash Pandey, Rana Singh, Brahma Kumar Tiwari, Satyaveer Singh, Radhey Shyam Yadav, Mahendra Pratap Singh, A K Malviya, Karan Sharma, Arya Suman Pandey, Pradeep Kumar Sharma, Ashutosh Pandey (in person) learned counsels for the plaintiffs, are present.

Mrs Tasneem Ahmadi, learned Advocate and Sri Mahmood Pracha (through Video Conferencing), S/Sri W H Khan, leaned Senior Advocate, Nasiruzzaman, Hare Ram Tripathi, Pranav Ojha, Tanveer Ahmad, Mohd. Afzal and Manoj Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the defendants, are present.

Sri Manish Goel, Senior Advocate, learned Amicus Curiae, is present.

Heard arguments of learned counsel for the defendants on the application under Order VII Rule XI of CPC. Now, the arguments advanced by Mrs Tasneem Ahmadi, learned counsel for the defendants, on the application for rejection of plaint, have been concluded in OSUT Nos.1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13, 16 and 18 of 2023.

(A-43) Additional objections have been filed by Sri Prabhash Pandey, learned counsel for the plaintiffs. Sri Vishnu Shanker Jain (through Video Conferencing), learned counsel for the plaintiffs, elaborately argued about the necessity and relevancy of filing these additional objections at this moment when the Court is hearing learned counsel for the defendants on the application for rejection of plaint.

Additional objections (A-43) are taken on record.

Learned counsel for the defendant may file reply to the additional

objections by the date fixed.

Learned counsel for the defendants may argue in the light of the additional objections and their reply on the date fixed in OSUT No.1 of 2023.

In OSUT No.4 of 2023, during the argument, Sri Vinay Sharma, learned counsel for the plaintiffs, submitted that inadvertently, the pen drive which was provided to the defendants is not similar to that which has been filed on record by the plaintiffs.

Sri Manish Goel, learned Senior Advocate, assisting the Court as Amicus Curiea, submitted that the pen drive on which any of the parties relies must be filed with # Code algorithm.

Sri Vinay Sharma, learned counsel for the plaintiffs submitted that an opportunity may be granted to him to file the pen drive with # Code algorithm.

One set of pen drive with # Code algorithm be provided to learned counsel for the defendants by the date fixed. An affidavit be also filed by the plaintiffs certifying the authentication of the pen drive.

In OSUT No.5 of 2023, an application (A-11) is moved to close the opportunity of filing objections against the application for rejection of plaint. Copy of the said application has not yet been provided to learned counsel for the plaintiffs.

In OSUT No.11 of 2023, Sri Jawahar Yadav, learned counsel for plaintiff no.2-Naresh Kumar Yadav, appeared and submitted that a short time may be given to him to file objection to the application under Order VII Rule XI of CPC.

Objections may be filed by the date fixed.

In OSUT No.15 of 2023, a reply (A-14) has been filed by the plaintiffs against the application under Order VII Rule XI of CPC.

Learned counsel appearing for the defendants submitted that she may be given an opportunity to file reply thereof.

Reply may be filed by the date fixed.

List on **04.04.2024 at 2:00 pm.** 

**Order Date :-** 1.4.2024 RKK/-