

**Court No. - 86**

**Case :-** ORIGINAL SUIT No. - 1 of 2023

**Plaintiff :-** Bhagwan Shrikrishna Virajman At Katra Keshav Dev Khewat No. 255 And 7 Others

**Defendant :-** U.P. Sunni Central Waqf Board And 3 Others

**Counsel for Plaintiff :-** Awadhesh Prasad,Prabhash Pandey,Pradeep Kumar Sharma,Radhey Shyam Singh,Rajesh Kumar Shukla,Reena S. Singh,Sachidanand Singh

**Counsel for Defendant :-** Afjal Ahmad,Gulrez Khan,Hare Ram,Nasiruzzaman,Pranav Ojha,Punit Kumar Gupta

**Hon'ble Ram Manohar Narayan Mishra,J.**

1. S/Sri Hari Shankar Jain, Mahendra Pratap Singh, Saurabh Tiwari, Ms. Reena N.Singh, through (Video Conferencing) and Sri Hare Ram Tripathi, Satyaveer Singh, Anil Kumar Singh, Manish Kumar, Naman Kishor Sharma, Mayank Singh, Arya Suman Pandey, Sandeep Kumar Agrahari, Bipin Kumar Jaiswal, Vinay Sharma, Ashutosh Pandey (in person), Jawahir Yadav, Radhey Shyam Yadav, Prabhash Pandey, Siddharth Srivastava, Ashvaneer Kumar Srivastava, Rana Singh and Ashish Kumar Srivastava for the plaintiffs, are present.

2. Km. Deepika on behalf of Mrs. Tasneem Ahmadi (through video conferencing), Nasiruzzaman, Hare Ram Tripathi, Pranav Ojha, Manoj Kumar Singh, Afzal Ahmad, Tanveer Ahmad Khan and Imran, learned counsel for the defendants, are present.

3. In **OSUT 01 of 2023**, an application **B-80** for adjournment has been filed alongwith affidavit of deponent Shri Tanveer Ahmad Khan through Shri Nasiruzzaman, advocate, on the ground that various petitions being SLP(C) Nos. 14275/2023, 25606/2023 and 481/2024 emanating from various orders passed in some of the suits, are also listed before Hon'ble Supreme Court (Bench presided by Hon'ble Chief Justice of India), at Item No. 24 on 28.11.2024. The learned counsel appearing for the Committee of Management Shahi Idgah Masjid would be arguing before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 28.11.2024, it would be in the interest of justice if this Hon'ble Court will be pleased to adjourn the present Suit for another date.

4. No objection raised by Mr. Satyaveer Singh, advocate, appearing for plaintiffs in OSUT 12 of 2023, 14 of 2023 and 15 of

2023. On the other hand, Sri Hare Ram Tripathi advocate appearing for Shree Krishan Janambhoomi Trust opposed the prayer for adjournment on the ground that some application for amendment in the petitions are pending in the suits and they may be taken up toady.

5. Keeping in view the prayer made on behalf of the learned counsel Mrs. Tasneem Ahmadi, who is representing Shahi Masjid Idgah, Mathura, the contesting defendant in all the consolidated suits, I think it proper and in the fitness of things to adjourn the matter for today in the interest of justice also.

6. In **OSUT 4 of 2023** an Application No. **A-61** under Order 39 Rule 7 read with Section 151 CPC has been filed on behalf of plaintiff nos. 1, 2 and 6 Ashutosh Pandey (in person) through counsel and Mrs. Reena N Singh, who appeared through video conferencing.

7. Learned counsel stated that copies have been provided to all the parties. The application will be taken up on next date for hearing.

8. In **OSUT 7 of 2023** Learned counsel Sri Anil Kumar Singh for plaintiff nos. 2 to 5 submitted that he has not been supplied copy of written statement filed by the defendants. If that is the position, the learned counsel appearing for the defendants who have filed written statement is desired to supply copy of the written statement to plaintiffs' counsel who has not been supplied the copy so far by personally or electronic mode as is feasible.

8.1. Photocopies of some documents filed by the defendant Committee of Management Shahi Masjid Idgah Mathura filed in all suits consolidated together with leading OSUT No. 1 of 2023.

8.2. The prayer for admitting these photocopies on record shall also be addressed on next date fixed.

9. In **OSUT 18 of 2023**, Shri Hare Ram Tripathi advocate has raised an issue of concern of legal community who is appearing in this case and keeping in view the public anxiety on the matter that proceedings of this case should not be incorrectly or wrongly reported in electronic and print media. Some other parties also raised such issues.

10. Therefore, some strict guidelines may be issued by this Court to abide and maintain the sanctity of the proceedings of the Court as well as the order passed in this case. It is impressed to all

concerned that any irresponsible or wrong reporting of the order and proceeding of the case may prima facie amount to contempt of the Court and this Court expects that the Media people will observe due restraint while reporting the proceedings of this case and will maintain the dignity and sanctity of the Court's orders in this regard.

11. The Registrar (Compliance) of this Court will ensure circulation of this observation and direction to all concerned.

12. List this case on **04.12.2024 at 02:00 PM.**

**Order Date :- 28.11.2024**

Dhirendra/Rashmi